



# **Standardised Hearing Loss Risk Profiles** with State-Space Models

## Marta Campi<sup>1</sup>, Gareth W. Peters<sup>2</sup>, Mareike Buhl<sup>1</sup>, **Perrine Morvan<sup>1,3</sup>, Hung Thai-Van<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Inserm, Institut de l'Audition, IHU reConnect, F-75012, Paris, France,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics & Applied Probability, University of California Santa Barbara, <sup>3</sup>Amplifon, 9 Bd Romain Rolland, 75014 Paris, France.

#### 1. Context

Hearing loss affects over 430 million people globally [1]. It severely impacts quality of life, communication, and leads to increased health risks [2].

Despite this, current prevalence estimates are often outdated and lack representative data, especially in Europe [3].

#### 4. Model Formulation

For each of the empirical quantiles  $Q_f(d)$ and the audiogram data matrices for each age group  $\{\mathbf{X}_{N_p \times F}\}_{p=1}^P$ , one counts how many patients within each frequency column exceed  $Q_f(d)$ , for each of the *P* matrices, giving relative proportions

#### 6. Dataset

Participants completed three measurements being audiogram, speech-in-quiet and **speech-in-noise**.





*«Implifon* 

Our work aims to fill these gaps by establishing a population-level benchmark for hearing loss risk profiles, utilizing both audiogram and speech-in-noise tests to enhance precision in clinical diagnostics and public health strategies.

## 2. Contributions

**Novel state-space model** (SSM) inspired by approaches like Lee-Carter, adapted to analyze hearing loss patterns. The contributions are threefold:

- Innovative model formulation for benchmarking risk profiles.
- Definition of demographic-specific hearing loss incidence.

$$\pi_{p,f} = \frac{1}{N_p} M_{p,f}(d)$$
$$= \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{n=1}^{N_p} \mathbf{1}\{X_{n,p,f} > Q_f(d)\}$$

We seek a dynamic structural explanation of the age term structure proportions,  $\tilde{\pi}_f$  of relative hearing loss performance per frequency under the SSM dynamics given by

 $\mathcal{M}_{B,d}$  :Baseline Model.

 $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_f = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(B)} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(B)} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_f^{(B)} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_f^{(B)},$  $\kappa_f^{(B)} = \theta^{(B)} + \phi_1^{(B)} \kappa_{f-1}^{(B)} + \omega_f^{(B)}$ 

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{E},d}$  :Extended Model.

 $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_f = \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(E)} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(E)} \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{(E)} +$ 

![](_page_0_Figure_27.jpeg)

## 7. Statistical Inference

![](_page_0_Figure_29.jpeg)

• Integration of relationships between audiogram and speech tests to assess both sensitivity and clarity in hearing.

## **3. Hearing Loss Proportion**

We define the **empirical quantile**  $Q_f(d)$  for frequency *f* of the audiogram using the empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) of the data comprising the total number of N patients as

$$Q_f(d) = \hat{F}_{X_{\cdot,\cdot,f}}^{-1}(d)$$
 with  
 $\hat{F}_{X_{\cdot,\cdot,f}}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}(X_{\cdot,\cdot,f} \le x)$ 

where  $X_{\cdot,\cdot,f}$  represents the thresholds of frequency *f*.

![](_page_0_Picture_35.jpeg)

Split by Age Group		Individuals exceeding:	$Q_f(0.2)$
Ago Group 1	Freg		

 $\gamma_{(Q)}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(Q)} + \gamma_{(N)}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(N)} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{f}^{(E)},$  $\kappa_{f}^{(E)} = \theta^{(E)} + \phi_{1}^{(E)} \kappa_{f-1}^{(E)} + \omega_{f}^{(E)}$ 

We run this model by considering the **overall** population and segments of population, i.e. by hearing loss degree and sex.

## **5. Model Interpretation**

 $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_P)^{\mathsf{T}}$  Age-specific intercepts measuring **baseline hearing loss level** for each age group.

 $\kappa_f$  Common baseline trend in hearing loss proportions across all age groups for frequency *f*.

 $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_P)^{\mathsf{T}}$  Age-specific sensitivity of hearing loss proportions  $\tilde{\pi}_f$  to a change in the general trend of hearing loss  $\kappa_f$ .

## 8. Risk Profiles

![](_page_0_Figure_44.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_45.jpeg)

References: 1. World Health Organization. (2021). World Report on Hearing. WHO. 2. Lisan, Q., et al. (2022). Prevalence of hearing loss and hearing aid use among adults in France in the CONSTANCES study. *JAMA Network Open*, 5(6), e2217633. Haeusler, L., de Laval, T., & Millot, C. (2019). Etude quantitative sur le handicap auditif à partir de l'enquête "Handicap-Santé": document de travail, série études et recherches. Retrieved from https://drees.solidaritessante.gouv.fr/sites/default/files/2020-09/dt131.pdf.

![](_page_0_Figure_47.jpeg)

#### 9. Conclusions

- Developed a **methodology** for **standardized risk profiles** using audiogram and speech tests.
- **Risk Insights**: Age and frequency are critical; risk rises after age 65. Hearing loss differences: SPIN crucial for slight to moderate loss; SPIQ for severe cases.
- Personalized Interventions: Supports tailored assessments by age, severity, and gender.